

Hey @MarkSZaidEsq as the attorney of multiple Havana Syndrome victims from 60 Minutes, you should know the Guerrilla Skeptics group of Wikipedia editors hijacked the @Wikipedia page to debunk the subject.

@Rob_Palmer of the group is the #1 editor of the page.

#ufotwitter



You and 2 others

6:13 PM · Apr 1, 2024 · 8,264 Views

Demand Wikipedia to Dismiss Editors Suppressing UAP Phenomenon Information



Started

February 6, 2024

Why this petition matters



Started by Bea Anono

We call on Wikipedia.org to take immediate action against content editors who have knowingly distorted or eradicated information related to the Unidentified Aerial Phenomena (UAP) phenomenon and its associated individuals. These editors, known as the 'Guerilla Skeptics', are perpetuating a discredited Cold War policy of denial and ridicule, which is fundamentally at odds with Wikipedia's mission of providing free access to the sum of all human knowledge. The implicated editors include LuckyLouie, Sgerbic, RP2006, JoJo Anthrax, JJhake, Hob Gadling, Hemiauchenia, and Jusdafax. Their actions not only undermine the credibility of this globally recognized platform but also suppress truth-seeking efforts in an area gaining increasing attention from scientists and governments alike. A report by The New York Times in 2020 about U.S government's interest in UAPs shows that such phenomena deserve serious examination rather than dismissal or distortion. We urge Wikipedia.org to uphold its commitment towards unbiased dissemination of knowledge by removing these editors immediately. Sign this

930	1,0
Signatures	Next
[™] 116 people s	gned this week
Sign this peti	tion
Last name	
Email	
Salinas, 93905	
United States	
Display my name and co	mment on this peti
Sign this	petition

By signing, you accept Change.org's Terms of Service and

Privacy Policy, and agree to receive occasional emails ab



Jay Marley @marleydidit4130 · Jan 19

my brother in Christ, are you saying you're NOT in legion with her??? wikipedia says otherwise ...

	MickWest · Jan 19 SI fellows, that n		rect.	
) 1	t⊋1	♡ 12	756	
Edzard Ernst			James L. Poweli ^[a]	
Mark Edward	Nathan H. Lents		Massimo Polidoro	
Taner Edis	Stephen Law		Steven Pinker	Lin Zixin
Sanal Edamaruku	Larry Kusche		Massimo Pigliucci	Benjamin Wolozin
Ann Druyan	Ed Krupp		Clifford A. Pickover	Richard Wiseman
Daniel Dennett	Barry Karr		John Allen Paulos	• E. O. Wilson
Cornelis de Jager	Stuart D. Jordan		Natália Pasternak Taschner	Mick West
Geoffrey Dean	Bay Hyman*		Jay Pasachoff	Steven Weinberg
Richard Dawkins	Deborah Hyde		Loren Pankratz	Stuart Vyse*
Manfred Cuntz	Stephen Hupp		Naomi Oreskes	Marilyn vos Savant
Frederick Crews	 Douglas Hofstar Gerald Hofton 	20est	Paul Offit	Bertha Vazquez Indre Viskontas
Jerry Coyne	Terence Hines	<u>. 100</u>	James Oberg	Joseph Uscinski
K.C. Cole John Cook	David Helfand		Steven Novala Bill Nye	James Underdown
Clark R. Chapman K.C. Cala	Michael Heap		Matthew C. Nisbet Steven No. 110	Neil deGrasse Tyson
Timothy Caulfield	Alan W. Harris		Lea Nisbet	Melanie Trecek-King
Thomas R. Casten	Raymond E. Ha		Willem Nienhuys	Leonard Tramiel
Sean B. Carroll	Susan Haack		Joe Nickell	Nicholas Tiller
 Jan Harold Brunvano 		sman	Meera Nanda	 Dave Thomas
Henri Broch	David Robert Gr		Richard A. Muller	Carol Tavris
Glenn Branch	 Natalie Grams 		 David Morrison (astrophysicist) 	Jill Tarter
 Mark Boslough 	 David Gorski 		Kenneth R. Miller	 Karen Stollznow
 Alejandro Borgo 	Thomas Gilovid		Tim Mendham	Keith E. Stanovich
 Susan Blackmore 	Susan Gerbic	•	Mario Mendez-Acosta	Dick Smith
 Sandra Blakeslee 	Maryanne Garry		Lee McIntyre	 Simon Singh
 Irving Biederman 	 Luigi Garlasche 	li .	Michael Marshall	 Gale M. Sinatra
 Kenny Biddle 	 Julia Galet 		 David Marks (psychologist) 	Seth Shostak
 Jann Johnson Bellan 	Ohris French		Michael E. Mann	 Eugenie Scott*
 Robert Bartholomew 	 Andrew Fraknoi 		Daniel Loxton	 Joe Schwarcz
 Stephen Barrett 	. Craig A. Foster		 Leighann Lord 	 Richard Saunders
 Banachek 	 Barbara Forrest 		 William M, London 	 Amardeo Sarma*
 Kimball Atwood IV 	 Kevin Folta 		Elizabeth Loftus	Benjamin Radford
Marcia Angell	Krista Federspie	d.	Jere H. Lipps	Donald Prothero
 James Alcock* 	 Kenneth Feder 		 Stephan Lewandowsky 	 Anthony Pratkanis



----- Forwarded message ------

From: Alan Einfeld <scifieditors@gmail.com>

Date: Tue, Mar 5, 2024 at 3:31 PM

Subject: Susan

Hey Susan,

We all know you are responsible for the bullshit in people's Wi misdeeds. I hope everyone knows what you've done to human

Alan Einfeld Sent from my iPhone









Add friend

Profile

6:41 AM

Ok, Susan has got to stop her wiki followers from keeping accurate birthday dates a. S



Cont.: birthday dates and locations incorrectly stated on various target pages. The first two to rectify are journalist George Knapp (Pulitzer and Edward R. Murrow awardee) and retired soldier Lou Elizondo. I believe a firm statement to her legions would go a long way toward allowing the corrections be made downstream. Thanks, man, we all just want to get our facts straight. Any questions, please don't hesitate to ask.. thanks.



	Replying to @\$	1000	lickWest and 4	1 others n't mean what yo	u're doing is
	What sort of g	rade-school reas	soning is this?		
	Q	tì	\bigcirc	tht	口土
•	The Sneaky Pe	ecksniffs don't g	et it. nen they could	post you were n "creatively" edit	
tı	No Neutral Poi highjack by ma persons. GSo\	er.org and 3 of the organization of View. Scepajority rule and control organization of the only organization of the only organization.	otical Scientisr ontrol, for now ganized group	d a reply to you m true believers (v, biographical pa , Canvassing, allo h LuckyLouie and	ages of living owed on
d	Replying to @	darkspa4463359 SusanGerbic @M ely "breaking the	lickWest and 4	4 others	
	Q	tì	\bigcirc	thi	口 土
	Yes Susan. Ed	ck @AdamGolds iting UAP pages ust screams ethi	to prevent the	public from know	 wing the full
	Replying to	erbic @SusanGe @MickWest @oli t broken any rule	veratlantis and		







	I think a def				_	
	Q	t⊋	♥ 8	ıl _ı 406		1
	It just isn't g ludicrous ex orchestratin	planations as to g this on an ind	her to write this powhy this behavior	patronising piece w our was justified w ever with these zeal that counts	hilst	 1
		4.7	O 3	ılı 179		1
<u>•</u>	And the Ros		as been wiki'd to b	palloons, and anyth	_	as
•	sam lann @ And the Ros "none of wh become a tr	sam_lann · 9h swell Incident ha	as been wiki'd to b ctual basis." "The of Roswell leverag		e has	
•	sam lann @ And the Ros "none of wh become a tr destination Q 1	sam_lann · 9h swell Incident ha ich have any fa opeThe town for UFO-associ	as been wiki'd to be ctual basis." "The of Roswell leverag ated tourism."	palloons, and anyth conspiracy narrativ ges this to promote	e has	а
	sam lann @ And the Ros "none of wh become a tr destination 1 wisemonke	sam_lann · 9h swell Incident hat ich have any fac opeThe town for UFO-associ	as been wiki'd to be tual basis." "The of Roswell leverage ated tourism."	palloons, and anyth conspiracy narrativ ges this to promote	ve has itself as	a
<u>•</u>	sam lann @ And the Ros "none of wh become a tr destination 1 wisemonke But you beli	sam_lann · 9h swell Incident ha ich have any fac opeThe town for UFO-associ	as been wiki'd to be tual basis." "The of Roswell leverage ated tourism."	palloons, and anyth conspiracy narrativ ges this to promote	ve has itself as	a

	Agreed Rosco That clarifies I'd like to rem to whether th quantity)	oe. your standpoi ind the public ney do, or they	however, that this	s is not a statemen ng (that is as yet ar	
	Q	tı	\bigcirc	ıl _{ıl} 120	口土
	Who ever too place to may! No guess not	k Wikipedia as be gets clues to	a solid resource? o research? Am I v	©EmVotesBlue • 14 I've always though vrong? time of day so we a	nt of it as a
	Q	tl	\bigcirc	111 150	
CANCEL OF THE PARTY OF	Huetl Artenia	Messes Messes Mi	ictalid . 5h		***
	to happen wir allowing a sp keep changes	out of control, the the nature of ecific group to s in their purvie	this is your next ir of Wikipedia: allow 'install' themselve ew	nvestigation. This v ving anyone to edit es into specific pag	and also ges and
	Wikipedia is o to happen wi allowing a spo	out of control, t th the nature o ecific group to	this is your next ir f Wikipedia: allow 'install' themselve	ing anyone to edit	and also
	Wikipedia is of to happen with allowing a spokeep changes Joseph Zyble	out of control, the the nature of ecific group to s in their purvient. 2 @JoZ1966 · 2	this is your next ir of Wikipedia: allow 'install' themselve ew	ving anyone to edit es into specific paફ	and also ges and ☐
	Wikipedia is of to happen with allowing a species where changes of the same of	out of control, the the nature of ecific group to s in their purvient. 2 @JoZ1966 · 2	this is your next ir of Wikipedia: allow 'install' themselve ew	ving anyone to edit es into specific pag	and also ges and ☐
	Wikipedia is of to happen with allowing a spokeep changes Joseph Zyble Is this the sar operator? Bryan Hoffm	out of control, the the nature of ecific group to so in their purvious that a control of the con	this is your next in If Wikipedia: allow Install' themselve If the sew If the sew is the sew is the sew If the sew is the sew is the sew is the sew If the sew is the sew	ving anyone to edit es into specific pag III 51 areer as a photoma	and also ges and
	Wikipedia is of to happen with allowing a spokeep changes Joseph Zyble Is this the sar operator? Bryan Hoffm	out of control, the the nature of ecific group to so in their purvious that a control of the con	this is your next in If Wikipedia: allow Install' themselve If the sew If the sew is the sew is the sew If the sew is the sew is the sew is the sew If the sew is the sew	ving anyone to edit es into specific pag III 51 areer as a photoma	and also ges and
	Wikipedia is of to happen with allowing a spokeep changes Joseph Zyble Is this the sar operator? Bryan Hoffm Waitthe Vat Nathan Smit Eyes on the p	ecific group to sin their purvie the mature of ecific group to sin their purvie the @JoZ1966 · 2 me person who the master @Bryankican *doesn't*	this is your next in If Wikipedia: allow Install' themselve If the th	ving anyone to edites into specific page that is a photomatic state of the basement? Ilil 238 ent work! Much ea	and also ges and









Following

WikiGate

@WikiGate

Joined February 2013

1 Following 5 Followers

Not followed by anyone you're following

Posts

Replies

Media

Likes

@WikiGate hasn't posted

When they do, their posts will show up here.





I don't like posts of this type. I don't like what Gerbic has done. I think it's wrong, but managing a group of volunteers is hard and she is very good at it.

8:35 AM · Mar 12, 2024 · 161 Views





excellent point, because even @ChatGPTapp & various other apps mine the data of @Wikipedia for it's Al generated results. THE PLOT THICKENS!


6:23 PM · Apr 1, 2024 · 36 Views





excellent point, because even @ChatGPTapp & various other apps mine the data of @Wikipedia for it's Al generated results. THE PLOT THICKENS!


6:23 PM · Apr 1, 2024 · 36 Views




•

	Replying to @Cr I guess if you do	hStrangenes3 · N aigweiler @Susan n't like it you coul de for is a bold mo	Gerbic and 6 oth d block me or sc	roll on idk 🧟 . Pick	ing	•••
	Q1	tì	♡ 2	ıl _ı ı 160		Ţ
	I'm quite literally	Craigweiler • Mar 1 her worst critic. I ons, not the pers	Making it person	al though isn't hel	pful.	•••
	Q 2	tì	♡ 5	ıl _ı ı 196		
	Replying to @Cr		Gerbic and 6 oth	iers y edit peoples Wik	dped	 lia
	Q1	tı	♥ 4	ılıI 183		
•	Yes, that's a goo dehumanizing p consequences t	Craigweiler • Mar 1 Id point. Gerbic ha eople can spiral o hat nobody wants grief, and it is a les	as made a lot of e ut of control and s. What she has o	have real world done to others has	i	
	Q 2	t]2	O 17	ılıl 1.7K		
	Funny that my "e and cancer quad		vampires that pr nent" to desperat	rey on grieving wo te people. You war		 be
	Q 13	t]3	\bigcirc	ıl _{ıl} 1.4K		土
	Mr. Marley @ma	arleydidit4130 • 19	∂h			•••
					1	

OKAY BOOMER LET'S GET YOU TO BED MISS GERRIC





			dness regardless o	of any difference of	t opinion.	
	Replying to \$7 million		dan @SusanGerbi		two-for-c	one
	Q	tī	♥ 3	tht		1
0			cott • 9h dan @SusanGerbi	c and 4 others		***
	Q 1	€⊋	♥ 3	ıl _ı l 193		1
96	100	ague @UFOS_UA as in her light.	PS·9h			•••
		0 11 00	0 11 00			
	Susa Replying Funny th women a	at my "enemies" and cancer quack	anGerbic · 22h @HighStrangenes are grief vampires ks selling "treatme nat pot, okay then,	that prey on griev nt" to desperate p	_	u
	Susa Replying Funny th women a	to @Craigweiler at my "enemies" and cancer quack	@HighStrangenes are grief vampires ss selling "treatme	that prey on griev nt" to desperate p	_	ou
	Susa Replying Funny th women a want to b Q 1 Matt Bran Replying to I think Sus seems to b	to @Craigweiler at my "enemies" and cancer quack be lumped into the tal non @HOCNprob an is starting to co be engaging in a li	@HighStrangenes are grief vampires as selling "treatme nat pot, okay then, \$\times 4\$	that prey on grievent" to desperate properties, your choice. 7 others re than she already witter battles now	ly was). S	1

Geoff Lawrence and Shannon Scott reposted a reply to you

least. 🙏		~ -		-
Q1	t1	♥ 9	ıl _ı ı 228	M
3000 00000 -	call the police, don			
However;	I imagine the disc	ourse you are see	eing is simply upset	tting (no
However; illegal) be	I imagine the disc	ourse you are see u are a scumbag t	eing is simply upset to the American pe	tting (no
However; illegal) be	I imagine the disc ecause it's true, you	ourse you are see u are a scumbag t	eing is simply upset to the American pe	tting (no

Shannon Scott liked a post you were mentioned in

Careful, next you'll be accused of threatening! Enjoy your podcast, by the way, keep up the great work!



Dave Schiavo @DaveSchiavo · 3h

Replying to @UFOS_UAPS @SusanGerbic and 5 others

Careful, next you'll be accused of threatening! Enjoy your podcast, by the way, keep up the great work!



tl























Yes, that's a good point. Gerbic has made a lot of enemies. But dehumanizing people can spiral out of control and have real world consequences that nobody wants. What she has done to others has created a lot of grief, and it is a lesson not to repeat that mistake.







Tom the Baptist of and Shannon Scott reposted your reply

You people are obsessed! OMG you don't want answers otherwise you would have me on the show. Whatever.













Tom the Baptist o and 4 others liked your reply

You people are obsessed! OMG you don't want answers otherwise you would have me on the show. Whatever,

	contrary. Sounds like	e sne's trinving.		
Q 1	t7	♥1	thi	
Replyin	engupta @AgniVAu g to @528vibes			•
	Gerbic a "Portrait P roup of @Wikipedia	_	e leader of Guer	rilla Skeptic
Q	tı	\bigcirc	thi	
+7.				
C+				
Shanno	on Scott reposted a	reply to you		
It's OK t	hat you don't know			_
		AL - A * - E A * A	1 1	
about it	and could present			you could
about it	and could present to me why my interp			you could
about it explain	to me why my interp rk @jimqk · 13h	oretations are wro	ng.	you could
about it explain Jim Qui Replyin	to me why my interports to me why my interports for the control of	oretations are wro	ng. d 5 others	
about it explain Jim Qui Replyin It's OK t	to me why my interp rk @jimqk · 13h	©ShanDScott and	d 5 others oswell. I know so	ome things
about it explain Jim Qui Replyin It's OK t about it	to me why my interpose. rk @jimqk · 13h g to @SusanGerbic or the control of the	©ShanDScott and anything about Rothat information t	d 5 others oswell. I know so to you, and then	ome things
about it explain Jim Qui Replyin It's OK t about it	rk @jimqk · 13h g to @SusanGerbic hat you don't know and could present	©ShanDScott and anything about Rothat information t	d 5 others oswell. I know so to you, and then	ome things
about it explain Jim Qui Replyin It's OK t about it explain Q 2	rk @jimqk · 13h g to @SusanGerbic hat you don't know and could present to me why my interp	@ShanDScott and anything about Rothat information to pretations are wro	d 5 others oswell. I know so to you, and then	ome things you could
about it explain Jim Qui Replyin It's OK t about it explain Q 2 Steve S	rk @jimqk · 13h g to @SusanGerbic hat you don't know and could present to me why my interp	@ShanDScott and anything about Rothat information to pretations are wro	d 5 others oswell. I know so to you, and then	ome things you could
about it explain Jim Qui Replyin It's OK t about it explain Q 2 Steve S	rk @jimqk · 13h g to @SusanGerbic hat you don't know and could present to me why my interp t 1 prague @UFOS_UAI g to @SusanGerbic	@ShanDScott and anything about Rothat information to pretations are wro	d 5 others oswell. I know so to you, and then	ome things you could
about it explain Jim Qui Replyin It's OK t about it explain 2 Steve S Replyin	rk @jimqk · 13h g to @SusanGerbic hat you don't know and could present to me why my interp t 1 prague @UFOS_UAI g to @SusanGerbic	@ShanDScott and anything about Rothat information to pretations are wro	d 5 others oswell. I know so to you, and then	ome things you could

Brandon Fugal 📀 liked your reply

FYI men I'm getting a lot of scary hate from people who are repeating your points. One of these people may try to harm me. If so, you are ultimately morally and financially responsible. The world is going to only see a gang of men attacking a woman. @Craigweiler @BrandonFugal



UAP Jedi 🛸 🚱 @UAPJedi - 10h

Monkey Debunker photographer admits she knows nothing about Roswell.

Follow the money. \$7 million a year.

#Grifter




Susan Gerbic @SusanGerbic · 13h

Replying to @jimqk @ShanDScott and 4 others

Roswell? I don't know anything about Roswell. If we could talk about the harm psychic mediums do to grieving women, then I would be tempted. Or this grief vampire #MediumThomas the @seatbeltpsychic skepticalinquirer.org/exclusive/thom...






How ironic. Actually, it is the debunkers, who deny the undeniable UAP reality we now live within, who are flat Earthers. And to think AARO's second report won't be more nonsensical garbage is nonsensical in itself.



Untitled Sue Ieraci liked your reply

Roswell? I don't know anything about Roswell. If we could talk about the harm psychic mediums do to grieving women, then I would be tempted. Or thic griof yampira #MadiumThamac the @coathaltneychic






pay for secrecy is an utter loss of credibility.

Honestly? What did you think would happen when this came to light? Flowers?

Q 1	t)	6 🛡 5	ıl _{ıl} 5.1K	Д	<u></u>
You'	e the one that is	RobertESheridan • 9 s leading a well fina can't get any more	nced organisation		
Q 3	ta	♡ 7	ıl _ı 1 439		<u>↑</u>
	nnon Scott @Sh financed?	anDScott · 9h			••
Q1	t⊋	△ 3	ıl _{ıl} 193		1
TO STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PA	a Thompson Stalking about St	💻 🚝 🤣 @Lynda_I usan's group.	Research · 5h		••
Q 2	tl	♡ 4	111 79		1
	nnon Scott anDScott				••
n the milli	ons.				
5:12 AM · M	ar 13, 2024 · 82	Views			
Q 1	tı	♥ 4		1	
Pos	t your reply			Repty	





Ryan Hastur and 16 others liked a post you were mentioned in

Rob Heatherly & Dr Keith Taylor Discuss Wikipedia & The Gorilla Skeptics @SusanGerbic @MickWest aka "Luck Louie". @WikiGate @Wikipedia #UFOTwitter @RobHeatherly1

youtu.be/tHppG2DBmIU



Lisa Fine 👽 🛶 🔺 and 7 others liked a post you were mentioned in

I agree. I will not tolerate such behavior. If you have been threatened at all, please call the authorities at once. Make a complaint for the record at least. (No sarcasm)



Morty Glenn reposted a post you were mentioned in

The absolute destruction being coordinated by an entire team of legal experts behind the scenes is going to create a landmark case against @SusanGerbic and others is going to be epic. She has no concept of what's going to drop.

#UFO #ufotwitter



Morty Glenn and 10 others liked a post you were mentioned in

The absolute destruction being coordinated by an entire team of legal experts behind the scenes is going to create a landmark case against @SusanGerbic and others is going to be epic. She has no concept of what's going to drop.

#UFO #ufotwitter







could you explain how this article is something you would site on David Gursch wiki?

it seems it makes a connection to bigelow and david from the fact they are both in the government?

Thats a connection, but not a discredit, I am not sure that would disqualify the 2004 video evidence

do you think you could explain how those UAPs can do a 90 degree turn without slowing?

They must be a break away civ at least because that requires the ability to manipulate gravity, or inertia.

When you add an article like that, that just make claims, when there is video evidence, its seems you are bias?

NIDS was studying Skinwalker ranch, which was scientist, studying what was going on at the Ranch, and had been for years

Colm Kellher, a scientist, in NIDS, on the study, wrote a book. I would like to discuss that with you and get you insight, as you must know more than wiki?

It seems a little like the Dunning-Kruger effect, where the least knowledgable, are the most confident in their views

https://www.scientificamerican.com/article/how-wealthy-ufo-fans-helped-fuel-fringe-beliefs/



Post



Susan Gerbic @SusanGerbic · 19h

DOES THIS BEAM ONLY LIFT ME? HOW DO YOU AVOID PULLING UP DIRT AND LEAVES AND STUFF? IF I KICK OFF MY SHOES, WILL THEY FALL? IS MY WEIGHT PULLING YOUR SHIP DOWNWARD? WHAT WILL HAPPEN IF A BAT FLIES THROUGH THE BEAM? HEY, WHY DOES YOUR SHIP HAVE THOSE BLINKY LIGHTS? ARE THEY ...

MOMENTS LATER, THE ALIENS SET ME BACK DOWN AND LEFT.

Q 12

t7 12

O 33

III 2.5K



King Milkfart (PRIMARIS EDITION w/ POWER ARMOR) @KingMilkfart_


More more give me moooore Suzie yessss







10:42 AM · Mar 31, 2024 · 361 Views








Tom the Baptist ❷ @UFOphysics4ALL · 7h

Replying to @SusanGerbic

#ConspiracyTheory you know ass from hole in ground?



t71

O 2

da

□ 土





@NEURO Eacc

I bet you would have been one of those people who thought that if you went past a horses max speed then you would suffocate.

2:50 PM · Mar 31, 2024 · 13 Views



Mr. Marley @marleydidit4130 · 15h well well well i smell a defamation suit for Rob & his Lady Svengali @SusanGerbic ruh roh Shaggy!!!





More replies Get your vaccine, please @Mooooshroooom · 4h ... I don't think they are doing anything with any intention to hurt, but lack of empathy seems to be a problem for type A Skeptics. I can relate to feeling righteous and feeling the need to do important work. I was like that once in my life. □ tì ♡ 2 III 17 □ ∴
















excellent point, because even @ChatGPTapp & various other apps mine the data of @Wikipedia for it's Al generated results. THE PLOT THICKENS!

6:23 PM · Apr 1, 2024 · 36 Views

					15 10
	FYI men I'm points. One morally and	of these peop financially re	of scary hate fro ole may try to ha	m people who are a arm me. If so, you a world is going to on BrandonFugal	re ultimately
	Q 22	t] 5	♡ 1	ıl _{ıl} 2.8K	
•	Call the poli	@cloudbytes ice anyhow v tinue to be pul	what your doing	on wiki is down rig	ght disturbing.
	Q 1	tı	♡ 9	ıl _{ıl} 128	
0	Shannon So @ShanDSco				
Bingo					
Reply Hello and I they	ying to @Sus Madam, I co heard you w are ugly and	anGerbic ontact you bc vas good at tha I heard you wa	at, is this your s	ice photography of peciality? because nipulate pictures (honestly
VOUV		i ana i nopo i	o orroup mito trit	5 1001)	



Mar 9, 2024, 8:18 AM

It's cute you think you're living in the handmaidens tale

So oppressed










Get a grip psycho





Arbitrary bias

Latest comment: 2 hours ago 1 comment 1 person in dis


Guerrilla Skeptics propaganda here... who the hell gave you the destruction. 2600:6C63:513F:3926:3053:DA87:3FA1:5E05 (tal...)







•		Replying to @FrancMilburn @SusanGerbic and 6 others It's all men's fault. Right!				
	Q 1	tı	♥ 4	ılıt		
		Scott @ShanDSc) @FrancMilburn	ott · 9h @SusanGerbic ar	nd 6 others		
	Q	t]	♡ 2	alit		
•	Replying to I know, tha	t you know, that	@SusanGerbic an I know who Lucky	/ Louie is.		
	Q ₁	t]	♥ 4	thi		
4	@SusanGe	erbic @MickWest	#WIKIGate #Wiki	ipedia		
		s evening. 👍				
		HppG2DBmIU?s				
	youtu.be/ti	HppG2DBmIU?si herly1 youtul Dr Kei			Gorilla Skep	
	youtu.be/ti	HppG2DBmIU?si herly1 youtul Dr Kei	i oe.com th Taylor & Rob He		Gorilla Skep □	
	youtu.be/ti @RobHeat Q 1 Shannon S Replying to	HppG2DBmIU?si herly1 youtub Dr Keii @Robli 17.4 Scott @ShanDScott	i be.com th Taylor & Rob He Heatherly1	eatherly Discuss		





Mr. Marley @marleydidit4130 · Mar 11

"hello, my name is Susan, let's talk about funny little hats instead ... and how Lue Elizondo was born in Florida, not Texas"



Susan Gerbic @SusanGerbic · Mar 11 What a child you are.

Q 18

t75

01

III 2.3K

□ 土



CatchALL @HighStrangenes3 · Mar 12
Replying to @SusanGerbic @marleydidit4130 and 6 others
Back to where u belong MissGerbic



intel	lectually and more	ally dishonest root	S.	
Q	tì	\bigcirc	ıl _{ı1} 24	□ 土
✓ It's v	my 🔀 @Tommy5 ery insulting, and work knows this i	that is just the firs	t paragraph. Anyo	ne who follows
Q	tı	♡ 1	ıl _{ı1} 59	口 土
- FS	ceCadet @Space we do know they	CadetCS · 15h hide body's but wh	no really knows wh	 nat kind
9	tī	\Diamond	ıl _{ı1} 267	
			Laurence Carlos Company and Carlos Ca	
over secr	the target, otherv ets empire was alv	vise they wouldn't ways going to strik urish hands so we c	e back. Let's be th	u". The UAP evil
over secr	the target, otherv ets empire was alv	vise they wouldn't ways going to strik	be shooting at you e back. Let's be th	u". The UAP evil
over secre reve	the target, otherwets empire was alwaling their amateutal tables ous Sam @BanBlu	vise they wouldn't ways going to strik ırish hands so we d	be shooting at you e back. Let's be th can counter it. III 24	u". The UAP evil ankful they're
over secret rever	the target, otherwets empire was alwaling their amateutal tables ous Sam @BanBlu	vise they wouldn't ways going to strik rish hands so we d	be shooting at you e back. Let's be th can counter it. III 24	u". The UAP evil ankful they're
Serio Grea Vilus Wilk is g	the target, otherwets empire was alwaling their amateu ta ous Sam @BanBle at article - very int ta st' Artonious @Sp kipedia simply car going to allow bad kipedia we need	vise they wouldn't ways going to strik rish hands so we d	be shooting at you e back. Let's be the can counter it. III 24 or sharing. III 35 nore. If Wikipedia her let's get it disass of info that isn't inf	が. The UAP evil ankful they're
Serio Grea 'Jus Wilk is g	the target, otherwets empire was alwaling their amateu ta ous Sam @BanBle at article - very int ta st' Artonious @Sp kipedia simply car going to allow bad kipedia we need	ways going to strike urish hands so we consider the compact of the	be shooting at you e back. Let's be the can counter it. III 24 or sharing. III 35 nore. If Wikipedia her let's get it disass of info that isn't inf	Li". The UAP evil ankful they're
Serie Grea Vilus Wilk is g wilk inte	the target, otherwets empire was alvaling their amateural to the course of the course	vise they wouldn't ways going to strik urish hands so we do to the trusted anymactors to take over a trusted source of e rich pay for supp	be shooting at you e back. Let's be the can counter it. III 24 or sharing. III 35 nore. If Wikipedia her-let's get it disassof info that isn't inforession.	Li". The UAP evil ankful they're

	Is it okay th denial, so n disbeleif in	nuch so, they res face of overwhe	of the skeptics? I t sort to disinformat Iming evidence. A ng the apex intellig	tion to propel their re they afraid of be	adimant	
	Q 2	tì	♡ 2	ıl _{ı1} 45		Î
	Don't let th	e bastards get y	@BradVoorhees · · · ou down Ross. The the UAP issue forward the UAP issue forward.	ey wouldn't try to l	nold you	
	0	^	Ø 7	ıl _ı ı 207		₾
	Susan Gert own mistak Wikipedia i	ke. s a cesspool of r	EX · 13h e of crap that now nisinformation and r meaningful inforr	d has been politici	zed. It's t	
	7	-	♡ 2	ıl _{ıl} 36		Î
	Evidence or yet hold no There need	evidence base t Is to be a safegua	tremism by a coho	to prevent these		
		ne line between.		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		
	Q	t]	♥ 6	ıl _{ıl} 279		Î
•	They're and The courag		et operation - infur or friends in the fac		evil	
	Q	tì	₩ 3	ılı 49		1
		@dedmunk · hielding yourself		hreath* if true" so	vou can	



SHOWS

GEORGE

NEWS

MEDIA

INSI

Wikipedia Cabal / Afterlife Guardians



Hosted by George Knapp

Guests: Rob Heatherly, Ali Duncan, Stafford Betty

Sunday - February 25, 2024

About the show

First Half: A cabal of hardcore skeptics and UFO debunkers has surreptitiously seized control of he including the online biographies of many of the most prominent UFO figures and investigators, including the online biographies of many of the most prominent UFO figures and investigators, including the online biographies of many of the most prominent UFO figures and investigators, including the online biographies of many of the most prominent UFO figures and investigators, including the online biographies of many of the deduction of the editors' slanted vectors of the usual discovered by the targeted individuals or the UFO subcontrol of other Wikipedia topics and pages viewed by tens of millions of readers. How did this happened used to use the cabal. He joins George Knapp to discuss what he's uncovered, along with Ali Duncan, who ran it tried to correct errors on George's wiki page. The guests will reveal specific abuses of the Wikipedia be trusted as an information source.

	The irony of son intelligence & kr than him concer	nowledge of UFOs rning the reality o	ocking a pinky's w s telling the mass	es that she know	s bet	 ter
	indoctrination.	nere is a marker	or poor criaracter	, terrible judgmen	11.00	
	\Diamond	tì	♡ 14	ıl _ı ı 676		1
2			11h ys Coulthart in th	is interview. Not	a "lie	··· 'at
	NEWS	IEWSNATION EXCLUSIVING THISTLEBLOWER DAVID The is out there – Med	GRUSCH SHARES BOMI	BSHELL CLAIMS ON UFC	iive T	
	From abc.net.au			WE ARE NO	TALONE	
	Q 2	t1 2	♥ 4	ılıı 129		₾
逐	If you stopped p your wikipedia p	age. We reap wh	h ics would write so at we saw. Hope y ceiving the credul	your happy with t		
	Q	tì	♡ 1	ılı 24		1


:{{tq|Elizondo was born in Texas not Florida.}}

:We at Wikipedia are aware that some people are saying Elizondo was born in Texas. This is why the infobox notes that his birthplace "needs independent confirmation". We have made a major effort to look for [[WP:RS|reliable sources]] saying that Elizondo was born in Texas, but our search has turned up nothing. If you can find a [[WP:RS|reliable souce]] that we've overlooked, we'd be happy to try to update the article to reflect the most accurate sources. [[User:Feoffer|Feoffer]] ([[User talk:Feoffer|talk]]) 10:59, 11 February 2024 (UTC)

== Fix Luis Elizondo's birth place you jack-wagons.... ==

Considering Luis himself has reached out TO YOU GUYS DIRECTLY and GIVEN YOU HIS official / redacted BIRTH CERTIFICATE, how much more RELIABLE do you attention-starved, ass-hats need?

[[Special:Contributions/35.8.111.13|35.8.111.13]] ([[User talk:35.8.111.13|talk]]) 16:41, 27 February 2024 (UTC)









Odin Thorsen and Rob Heatherly liked a post you were mentioned in Hi Mick-

- Are you affiliated with the Guerrilla Skeptics at all?
- 2. Do you communicate with whoever is Lucky Louie or Susan Gerbic?
- 3. Do you support their tactics?
- 4. Do you write content for anyone who is posting as LuckyLouie?



Rob Heatherly 🤣 @RobHeatherly1 · 7m

Replying to @StandForBetter @MickWest and 4 others

This is likely going to draw the 5th Amendment right to remain silent, or invoke Sceptical denialism answers not grounded in reality. That consensus comports reality thing the true believers of pseudo scepticism embrace as dogma.









Rob Heatherly and Melinda Leslie liked a post you were mentioned in

They did it to @LueElizondo and others. I'm sure you're on the @ list.

Imagine it. A group of fearful, intellectually incurious, yet strangely smug know-it-alls have been curating the information the gullible plebes (us) are ALLOWED to see on public platforms like Wikipedia.









Rob Heatherly o and 2 others liked a post you were mentioned in

Perhaps one of your friends from Guerrilla Skeptics can edit my page next time they are busy editing yours?





cosmicjudas liked a post you were mentioned in

Matt Ford broke more Wikipedia guidelines than GSoW did. Editing his own page.





Christopher Wolford reposted a post you were mentioned in

Hey Mick. Right now their editor JPS (a Guerrilla Skeptics editor with admin privileges) is suppressing negative comments on his own talk page and fabricating positive ones and abusing the Advanced Oversight Editor Tool.

He's also using sock puppets.



#ufotwitter



OcsmicDahlia @cosmicdahlia · 10h



I'm casting Ryan Gosling for @JeremyCorbell.



The clown who wrote this self-serving justification for her egregiously defamatory lies on my Wikipedia page relied on The Australian Sceptics to make a totally untrue claim that I believe the Vatican is hiding ET bodies. A total lie.

skepticalinquirer.org/exclusive/i-bl... via @skeptinquirer



From skepticalinquirer.org

7:37 PM · Feb 15, 2024 · 38.2K Views

	I know it sucks False counter-r		e this, but if it ma ly backfire and sp	kes you feel any be pread awareness o		
	Q1	tl1	♥ 32	ılıı 1K		1
矽	StandForBette @SusanGerbic	er.org 🤣 @Standf	ForBetter · 15h			•••
	Q 1	tı	O 15	ılıı 1K		₾
		A-File Physics Res er fighting on that		en_Scientist · 30m		
				rould definitely hid ow of a doubt they		ld
	So why back do	own on this?				
	Q	tl1	♡ 6	ıl _ı ı 148		1
		•		actors? A defamati	on c	 ase
	Q	tì	₩ 3	ıl _ı ı 228		₾
1	Roy @ @roydh They have beer I smell panic!		f topics Ross, eve	n rewriting some s	cien	 ce.
	Q 2	tı	♡ 7	ıl _{ı1} 370		Î
0	Skepticism is n	myjohnston · 13h ot what it used to 0% assumptions	be. It's gotten inc	credibly lazy to the	poir	



I want @SusanGerbic and rest of felons and need to face judge an

2:46 PM · Feb 10, 2024 · 34 Views



The following submission statement was provided by u/TommyShelbyPFB:

It says this was done on January 8th 2024, so 10 days ago. I'm not sure if Coulthart has addressed this yet.

Can someone shed some light on why someone would do this on wikipedia and remove basic facts about him like him being a practicing lawyer for a while?

Edit: Apparently UFO twitter is all over it, seems like some fuckery going on. And not just about Coulthart. Elizondo and others too.

Some rogue group of Wikipedia editors calling themselves "Guerrilla Skeptics", (organized effort to discredit those pushing for disclosure), apparently endorsed by Mick West too.

Semi-protected edit request on 9 February 2024 [edit]

Latest comment: 9 minutes ago | 1 comment | 1 person in discussion

It is requested that an edit be made to the semi-protected article at L links · protection log)



This template must be followed by a **complete and specific description**what text should be removed and a verbatim copy of the text that should not acceptable and will be rejected; the request **must** be of the form "ple

The edit may be made by any autoconfirmed user. Remember to change the | answere request has been accepted, rejected or on hold awaiting user input. This is so that inactineedlessly fill up the edit requests category. You may also wish to use the {{ESp}} temple page be protected or unprotected, make a protection request.


Elizondo was born in Texas not Florida. He has tried to fix this issue but your moronic group that is goin don't like on everything you feel is pseudoscience won't let it happen. You guys don't know everything. mall for god's sake. Capstonecomplaints (talk) 20:57, 9 February 2024 (UTC) Reply



When the activist group The Guerrilla Skeptics decides for YOU what journalists and media outlets are allowed on @Wikipedia, it is destructive to a free and open society.

It's Orwellian. It's 1984. It's wrong.

#WikiGate #Wikipedia #ufotwitter #uap #ufo #ufoX #congress





Thanks, @SusanGerbic, for publicly adding tying @MickWest to your group, The Guerri will be made public in due time.









mortalitylost · 2d

Can't wait until the truth comes out and these Guerilla Skeptics look like dicks.

Seriously, everyone who has been treating this with ridicule needs to take a hard look in the mirror at some point.





LincolnshireSausage · 2d

Looking at the wikipedia user LuckyLouie who made the changes:

This user saw Bigfoot and a Mokele-mbembe cured by Magnet therapy at a Reportedly haunted location while debating Climate change denial with a UFO piloted by an Aquatic ape at the Fringe theories Noticeboard.

Also:

This user is a member of the OWEDTRWSMRTMC.

That apparently stands for "Organization of Wikipedia Editors Dedicated to Removing Well Sourced Material Related to Mind Control".









Ciccio_Camarda · 2d

Imagine donating money to keep Wikipedia free of ads and free of "corporate shills" only to find out Mick West is editing whatever shit he likes in there. These motherforms have gone from skeptics to fully facciet debunkers.





fulminic • 2d

No, it's Mick "van full of hippies" West. Another guy.





SabineRitter · 2d

Oh, got it, I thought it was mick "I know better than a squadron of fighter pilots" west.





fulminic · 2d

Nah you must be confusing him with Mick "it's birdpoop" West





Strict-Ease-7130 · 2d

Why the fuck would anyone who is skeptical be against "disclosure"? The entire point of being skeptical is to attempt to find the truth, not to censor anything you don't like or agree with. If there is no truth around the NHI hypothesis than we can let it be discovered through investigation and application of the scientific method, not through manipulation, censoring, and slander.





Hardcaliber19 · 2d

Because they are not skeptics. They are zealots.





_BlackDove · 2d

These are the type of things people do when they're afraid.





UnicornBoned · 2d

Thank you for being on top of this.





SpeakerInfinite6387 · 2d

They tarnished the word skeptic 👮



I suspect it is GSoW up to their normal tricks.

The biggest surprise to me is how few people know about GSoW in this sub. Wikipedia is a terrible resource for any topics that the skeptics feel threatened by, the articles become negative junk and in some cases just attack pieces.

As a past skeptic that was involved on the fringes of the skeptic community, I became appalled by how dishonest and sleazy they were, then they would justify it by accusing others of doing what they were actually doing.

It still makes me laugh that the skeptic communiadopted the exact same ugly tactics as Sciento, are known for.





Lmfao. from the FAQ on that page you linked:

I'm not an expert on anything, and do not have a degree, is that a problem?

NOPE, In fact a lot of the time you will be working on pages you know nothing about.



Follow

Susan Gerbic, founder of Guerilla Skepticism on Wikipedia, created Lue Elizondo's Wikipedia page.

This is an absolute scandal of organized character assassination attacking the people pushing for disclosure.

skepticalinquirer.org/exclusive/beho...

Luis Elizondo

This was one of the few pages I've written from scratch. I tend to like to "rescue" pages and leave the new pages creations to other editors. I follow the work of Mick West and the whole UFO/UAP and the U.S. Congress story is super interesting. I kept coming across the name of Luis (or Lue) Elizondo connected to the story. I went to Wikipedia to find out more information and was surprised to see that there wasn't a Wikipedia page. He was all over the main stream media, so I couldn't understand why not. I should have dug a little further, but just got started. It took me about ten hours straight to get the page written. I let the GSoW team review it and then on May 26, 2021, published it on Wikipedia.



Post



So ufoX here is the belly of the SusanGerbic

With a 150strong team of ed privileges on Wikipedia they narrative for the normies (and consume, try editing a page of minions will re edit it right ba

- ♠ [-] Addidy 23 points 2 days ago*
- Before I even clicked the link I had a pretty good idea of who this was.

I once tried to fix the remote viewing page on Wikipedia and went as far to recruit the head of the Guerilla skeptics of wikipedia Susan Gerbic (yes it was so badly edited even a prominent skeptic temporarily supported me).

All my efforts were stonewalled by this user who incorrectly leveraged wiki legalese.

I stopped because after reading 'psi wars' by Craig Weller who has also been in the wiki fight I knew the most likely outcome was to get unfairly banned for trolling.

But I see this account every now and again on controversial topics doing a disservice to humanity with half-baked knowledge and poor discernment.

It looks like he's kicked a hornets nest now. And I will be buzzing with the rest.

permalink embed save parent report reply

[+] [deleted] 2 days ago (5 children)

- ← [-] Grey-Hat111 2 points 1 day ago
- r/AnomalousEvidence

permalink embed save parent report reply

- ♠ [-] SnooPuppers3957 4 points 2 days ago
- "it seems they have a knack for removing 'fringe theory'."

This is even funnier given their interest in horology.

permalink save parent report reply

- ← [-] arc-ion 1 point 2 days ago
- That's some crucial gear for those looking for love in all the wrong places. I don't see what it has to do with fringe, unless you mean the outer edges of leather and lace.

permalink save parent report reply


- ♠ [-] BatLarge5604 1 point 2 days ago
- ... Can't we edit her page saying how she likes to fuck about with things that are not her business?

permalink save parent report reply

- ♠ [-] arc-ion 4 points 2 days ago
- Two something's don't make a ... uhh you know what I mean. If you attack someone with the same ill will and with vengeful intention, you are only inciting and inviting more of the same, from not only that person, but others as well. It spreads like wild fire.

permalink save parent report reply





Brian Dunning is most likely among the core editor group with LuckyLouie. My next focus. They aren't going to like the heat the overzealous LuckyLouie brought to their entire franchise.



Rob Heatherly oliked a post you were mentioned in

i wanted to show a documentry about Dr.Hal Putoff to some of my friends, one of them an eager sceptic was super negative and said i can debunk it without even seeing it, he went to wikipedia read some bs about Dr.Putoff. After nobody wanted to see it suddenly.

I hate wikipedia.





Mick West @MickWest · Jan 19

I have never edited that page, nor have I requested anyone else edit it.

I could edit it, as the protection is against unregistered and new users. I'm not planning to edit it.

O 13

172

O 14


III 5.6K

□ 土



Jay Marley @marleydidit4130 · Jan 19

that's not what @SusanGerbic says she already threw you under the bus mate try to keep up 😻





Curious Explorer 🤡 @CuriousNHI · Jan 19

Replying to @KingMilkfart_ @528vibes and 2 others

This is simply intolerable. We all need to create Wikipedia accounts and start pushing back.

Google ranks Wikipedia at the top every time. Just about every Al uses it as a first source.

Q1

173

O 13

ilit



...

She looks insane





Fīzz 🧃 🤣 @mferws · Jan 20

Replying to @FettuccineSplit and @devgru1980mi

The Guerilla Skepticism

@SusanGerbic please answer me, because of inflation I am looking for a second job related to disinformation



4	[-] Jackfish2800		2	points	2	days ago
---	------------------	--	---	--------	---	----------

This is why I will not give them a fucking dime. They do this shit all the time, that's why you are them in any college paper etc.

permalink embed save report reply

- ♠ [-] torch9t9 2 points 2 days ago
- I've had multiple authors tell me they watched in real time as corrections in their entries were unangout at best, an utterly corrupt propaganda tool at worst.

permalink embed save report reply

- ← [-] Vast_Fill_3891 2 points 2 days ago
- This is the level and sophistication of the disinformation campaign that we are up against.

permalink embed save report reply

- ← [-] Puzzled-Copy7962 2 points 2 days ago
- This is getting interesting and scary.

permalink embed save report reply



- ♠ [-] faultydesign 2 points 2 days ago
- Just add citations to the claims and it won't be removed.

permalink embed save report reply

- [-] da_Ryan 2 points 2 days ago
- Whatever one's views are on UAPs, the malicious editing of someone's Wikipedia entry is to be serves no constructive purpose whatsoever.

permalink embed save report reply

- [-] DoNotPetTheSnake 2 points 2 days ago
- Wow its like watching the Ministry of Truth in real time. Orwellian as hell.

permalink embed save report reply

[-] Frequent-Cry1798 • 2 points 2 days ago



Yes, isn't that interesting. One editor archived their own Talk Page Nov. 10th. Wonder why? 😜

David's page was rolled into "David Grusch Claims" page by the same small half dirty dozen editors that actively monitor and control over 10k Wiki pages by page majority rule, including Lue Elizondo's page (I recognize the snark! , Ross Coulthart (stub, aweful attacks), Tim Burchett, Bob Lazar, Jacques Vallee, George Knapp, Chris Mellon, Garry Nolan, Jeremy Corbell, Hal Puthoff. **ALL UFO** related pages, other bunk, pseudo science, religion, conspiracy theories, paranormal, COVID-19, Covid Lab leak "bunk", scroll to the bottom of each page (mobile view works better than PC) and follow the edits, check Talk for each page, and each editor. Let's figure out who LuckyLouie is, shall we? And his ardent attack dog JoJo Anthrax. There are others, but these two... Perhaps he was already banned from the platform for previous sock puppetry? I could be wrong, but I doubt it. Court of public opinion will decide. There are around 5 others of particular interest, that combined have dominated entire genres on Wikipedia for over 17 years now. We are all too credulous to decide what is credible information and make our own informed decisions. When spread out the active defamation of character towards the most prominent Disclosure Advocates, while blanket promoting liers including Kirkpatrick, across multiple social media websites, platforms inc YouTube, anyone who's fresh to any of the topics this group polices, are directly reading only the information this small group wants everyone to see, with highly biased articles that censore any single editors attempting to bring balance with opposing viewpoints from valid sources. Valid sources? They even decide which mainstream outlets deserve their stamp of approval or not. News Nation, The Debrief, Popular Science magazine, pure credulous bunk. Don't take my word for any of this. I actually encourage people to think for themselves, unlike those in this community for less than honorable reasons trying to manipulate and control the narrative. If the UFO conspiracy is true, then what about the rest? The truth is too dangerous for public consumption. Psyops Susan Gough must be so proud.

I'd say Wiki has an infestation and liability issue on hand they need to sort out. I was suppressed on my attempt to set the record straight. Perhaps others will have better luck...

#ufotwitter @Wikipedia

- [-] ThrowawayWikipology 2 points 2 days ago*
- I'm not Wikimedia, I'm just a Wikipedia editor who made an account. I believe in UFO and I'm and Grusch.

I want to validate what the video said up to a point. Yes -- there are some asshole skeptics on \(\)
lot of UFO believers too.

The edits I saw on the show weren't actually that problematic We always remove academic deg go look at ANY page. We always remove random podcast appearances. Awards weren't remove the Awards section. Talk pages aren't "secret", they're very very public. Talk page archives aren say in the video that Elizondo was born in texas, but I went and looked and I couldn't find any the wiki article cites a news source that says he was born in Miami! Can you find a "reliable sour born in Texas? Edit: No, I can't just call Lue up and ask him where he was born. I'm just an ane editor, not an investigative reporter, nobody is going to take my word for anything. Lue could enthat would probably work.

Just remember, the skeptics don't run the show on Wikipedia. If you look at how the sausage go look at the ENTIRE process. We use some asshole skeptics in the process, but we use a lot of of different biases.

Disclosure is NOT a battle that will be fought on Wikipedia -- it's a battle will be fought in the partimes and the Washington Post. There's like four to ten hardcore skeptics on wikipedia, and the cross the line into blantantly wrong edits. The second disclosure happens in mainstream source be overruled.

permalink embed save parent report reply

← [-] Many_Ad_7138 S • 1 point 2 days ago

Can you find a "reliable source" that says he was born in Texas?

Really. You can't ask him yourself? WTF?

permalink embed save parent report reply

← [-] DougWeller • 1 point 7 hours ago

Sources need to be reliably published. We never use anyone's knowledge, etc as a source permalink embed save parent report reply

- ← [-] Lincolnshire Sausage 10 points 2 days ago*
- Looking at the wikipedia user LuckyLouie who made the changes:

This user saw Bigfoot and a Mokele-mbembe cured by Magnet therapy at a Reportedly haunted location while debating Climate change denial with a UFO piloted by an Aquatic ape at the Fringe theories Noticeboard.

Also:

This user is a member of the OWEDTRWSMRTMC.

That apparently stands for "Organization of Wikipedia Editors Dedicated to Removing Well Sourced Material Related to Mind Control".

 $Here's the page that describes what that long acronym is: https://en.m.wikipedia.org/w/index.php? \\ oldid=553121824\&title=User_talk:Damonthesis\#Organization_of_Wikipedia_Editors_Dedicated_to_Removing_Well_Sourced_Material_Related_to_Mind_Control$

permalink embed save parent report reply

- ← [-] moustacheption 22 points 2 days ago

I feel like "anti-UFO taliban" makes it sound like some independent group when it's likely the exact group that Grusch mentioned have been doing this for decades.

permalink embed save parent report reply

- ← [-] A SearchingLibrarian 13 points 2 days ago
- Well, in this case, who knows. But this sort of vandalism has been going on for ages. Organised gangs of skeptics. It came up again just recently with the Flight 1628 incident Wikipedia page. All we can do is keep fighting. Its always been like this with the UFO topic.

permalink embed save parent report reply

- ← [-] wannabelikebas 6 points 2 days ago
- Please do!!

permalink embed save parent report reply

[+] II1II comment score below threshold (9 children)

- ← [-] Addidy 1 point 2 days ago
- I've dealt with the Guerilla skeptics before. I don't believe the user in question is affiliated.

permalink save parent report reply



Rob Heatherly <a>◆ @RobHeatherly1 · 2d

Guerrilla Skeptics of Wikipedia include Susan Gerbic, LuckyLouie, Rp2006, Hob Gadling.

Conflict Of Interest arbitration inquiry on Wikipedia.

Susan Gerbic and Mick West are CSI fellows.

@tinyklaus @Wikipedia
skepticalinquirer.org/csi-fellows/

en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Wikipedia... (Read drop down... Show more

I agree completely banning the organization would be unhelpful to the project, but without resolving 2, 3 and 4 I strongly believe the ability of non-GSoW editors to appropriately engage in ways to resolve the concerns within this topic is hampered. Additionally, it is not like this is a minute group with limited reach, their 1,899 pages have been viewed ... checking now ... 101,189,830 times ... Please tell me if any diffs are needed for any statements above and which statements, and I will gladly provide them. A. C. Santacruz * Please ping me! 18:26, 10 January 2022 (UTC) [reply]



Enterprisev ScottishFinnishRadish



Experienced Wikipedia editors are discouraged from joining Guerilla Skepticism on Wikipedia because "they do not fit in well."

Or maybe GSoW doesn't want editors with established credibility finding out what they're really up to and holding them accountable.

I'm an experienced Wikipedia editor, can I join GSoW and skip the training? No. We have found that experienced editors have a very different experience as a team like ours. With very few exceptions we have found that they do not fit in well. We are not "just" editors, we have a different mind-set and focus than a normal editor. We are much more social, use Facebook to discuss, train and motivate. We follow all the rules of Wikipedia, and love normal Wikipedia editors, but we approach things as a team. If you would still like to join us, please do so, but you will not be skipping lessons, will still have to proceed through training like someone who has never edited before. (Back to top.)





լ↑լ Share



DecemberRoots · 2d

Susan Gerbic sounds like a wannabe cult leader. I love how she quotes herself as some sort of philosopher on her recruitment page lol

... ← 介 19 ♂



2basco · 2d

Someone should join GSoW and be a whistleblower for their shady tactics.

... ← ☆ 17 ⇩



syfyb__ch · 2d

I'm an experienced Wikipedia editor, can I j 🗸 GSoW and skip the training?

NOPE, we have found that experienced editors have a very different experience with a team like ours. With very few exceptions we have found that they do not fit in well. We are not "just" editors, we have a different mind-set and focus than a normal editor. We are much more social, use Facebook to discuss, train and motivate. We follow all the rules of Wikipedia, and love normal Wikipedia editors, but we approach things as a team. If you would still like to join us, please do so, but you will not be skipping lessons, and you will still have to proceed through training like someone who has never edited before.





The absolute destruction being coordinated by an entire team of legal experts behind the scenes is going to create a landmark case against @SusanGerbic and others is going to be epic. She has no concept of what's going to drop.

#UFO #ufotwitter



Shannon Scott @ShanDScott ⋅ 2h

Not obsessed. We want the truth no matter what it is. I've seen @RobHeatherly1 research. The evidence is overwhelming. Let's agree on one thing. At the end of the day the TRUTH will come out. Right? You should have nothing to worry about. #Wikipedia #WikiGate x.com/SusanGerbic/st...

8:31 PM · Mar 12, 2024 · 899 Views